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#### Abstract

Low temperature is one of the main factors limiting the growth of zoysia grasses (Zoysia spp.). This study was conducted to assess the low-temperature tolerance of zoysia grasses, and especially to explore the low-temperature tolerance of different organs. The leaf $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ (temperature at which $50 \%$ of leaves die) of zoysia grasses tested ranged from $-1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-10.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CV} 27.8 \%)$. The $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of different species ranked as: $Z$. japonica $\left(-6.68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<$ Z. sinica $\left(-5.90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<$ Z. matrella $\left(-5.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<Z$. tenuifolia $\left(-3.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<Z$. sinica var. longiflora $\left(-3.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<Z$. macrostachya $\left(-2.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The ranges in $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values were $Z$. japonica $\left(-1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.-10.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, Z. sinica $\left(-3.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $-9.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and Z . matrella $\left(-2.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.-6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The average $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values were leaf $\left(-6.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)>$ stolon $\left(-7.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)>$ rhizome $\left(-8.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. There was a significant correlation between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values of different organs ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) suggesting that leaf $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ could be used an indicator of low-temperature tolerance of zoysia grasses.


## Introduction

Zoysia grasses (Zoysia spp.) are widely used warm season turf-grass species indigenous to the countries of the western Pacific Rim and are also environmentally friendly. They possess excellent

[^0]tolerance of wear, drought, salinity and low fertility, are disease resistant and have low maintenance requirements. The native distribution of the recognised species in the genus extends from New Zealand to the island of Hokkaido in Japan, and from French Polynesia through Malaysia west to Mauritius (Anderson 2000). In eastern China, their natural distribution covers from $19^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to $41^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $109^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ to $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.

Most accessions are adapted to warm climates and grow well in coastal areas. Low freezing tolerance is the primary limiting factor for distribution of zoysia grasses in the transitional regions and temperate regions. However, Beard (1973) stated that zoysia grass had greater freezing tolerance than other warm season turf grasses and Dunn (1999) demonstrated a wide range of cold tolerance among zoysia grass cultivars. In a study of low-temperature tolerance of 54 representative zoysia grass accessions in China by electrolyte leakage (EL), Li et al. (2003) found that the lethal temperature 50 of leaf $\left(\mathrm{LT}_{50}\right.$ : temperature at which $50 \%$ of leaves die) of these accessions ranked as: Z. japonica $<$ Z. sinica $<$ Z. matrella $<Z$. tenuifolia $<Z$. sinica var. longiflora < Z. macrostachya. Photosynthetic enzyme activities of different Zoysia spp. and accessions declined when exposed to low temperature stress, with the degree of decline being different among species and populations. However, as the degree of decline was not associated with the climate of origin of the zoysia grasses, it was concluded that freezing tolerance could be determined by inheritance (Hong 1977; Okawara and Kaneko 1995, 1997; Matsuba et al. 1997).

Several methods have been used to assess the low-temperature tolerance of grasses. Gusta et al. (1980) demonstrated that the EL procedure was a simple and quick method for evaluating the low-temperature tolerance of cool season grasses. This was confirmed by Rajashekar et al. (1983) and Anderson et al. (1988), although the EL procedure was relatively labour-intensive and
analysis of the results was difficult. Fry et al. (1993) proposed using re-growth after controlled environment freezing as an indicator of low-temperature tolerance. However, this procedure was even more time-consuming than the EL method and, in addition, experimental conditions in the field or greenhouse are not easily controlled.

Since leaves, rhizomes and stolons of warm season grasses experience different temperatures when a given environmental temperature occurs, examining the behaviour of a single vegetative organ might not provide an accurate estimate of the low-temperature tolerance of a grass overall. In the studies of low-temperature tolerance of limited zoysia grass accessions described above (Beard 1973; Dunn 1999; Li et al. 2003), only one vegetative organ such as leaf was studied.

In total, 160 native accessions have been collected by the Institute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and the Chinese Academy of Sciences during 1994-2006. However, a comprehensive evaluation of the low-temperature tolerance of the germplasm has not been conducted. The objective of this study was: (1) to assess the low-temperature tolerance of 5 species of zoysia grass including 97 accessions; and (2) to explore the relationships between low-temperature tolerances of different vegetative organs.

## Materials and methods

## Plant materials and culture

The 97 accessions ( 5 species and 1 variety) were selected from 160 accessions (Table 1). Most of the accessions were native to China with 9 cultivars introduced from USA and 2 accessions from Japan. Stolons were collected during 19952001 and planted in the experimental field of the

Institute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and the Chinese Academy of Sciences ( $32^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 118^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; elevation 30 m ). Plot size was $0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ with plots separated by 50 cm borders to prevent the accessions from growing together. Annual average temperature of the region is $15.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and average temperature and the absolute minimum temperature in January are $2.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-13.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Standard procedures were conducted including fertilisation and irrigation, with necessary stolon and rhizome clearance. Zoysia grass was mowed at 5 cm about 4 or 5 times per month. Only one accession was available for Z. macrostachya, Z. tenuifolia and Z. sinica var. longiflora owing to the limited material available.

## Low-temperature tolerance of leaf evaluated by electrolyte leakage

The experiment was conducted in June-July 2004. Fresh healthy leaves ( 20 gm ) were collected from the lawn turf, washed and rinsed with deionised water 3 times and dried on filter paper. The leaves were cut into $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ fragments, before being placed in glass tubes ( $25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The glass tubes were placed in a refrigerator (Kelon, BCD-272/HCP, China) at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , then cooled in a freezing bath of polyethylene glycol in a programmable freezer (Polyscience 9610, Polysciences, Inc., U.S. Corporate Headquarters, Pennsylvania USA). The temperature was lowered at a rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$ and the glass tubes were exposed to 5 low temperature levels $(-2,-6,-10$, -14 and $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 1.5 h . After removal from the freezer, the samples were thawed at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The leaves from each glass tube were divided into 5 samples, and each sample was placed into a new tube, to which 20 mL of deionised water

Table1. Accessions tested.

| Species | Number of accessions | Latitude ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Native | Introduced |  |  |
| L. japonica | 57 | 9 | $28^{\circ} 36^{\prime}-41^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | $106^{\circ} 33^{\prime}-123^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ |
| Z. sinica | 21 | 1 | $20^{\circ} 05^{\prime}-37^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $116^{\circ} 22^{\prime}-121^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ |
| Z. matrella | 5 | 1 | $22^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-32^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ | $106^{\circ} 33^{\prime}-120^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$ |
| Z. macrostachya | 1 | 0 | $30^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ | $122^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ |
| Z. tenuifolia | 1 | 0 | $29^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ | $106^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ |
| Z. sinica var. longiflora | 1 | 0 | $33^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ |
| Total | 86 | 11 | $20^{\circ} 05^{\prime}-41^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | $106^{\circ} 33^{\prime}-123^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ |

[^1]was added. The leaf samples were held at room temperature for 24 h and $\mathrm{EL}_{1}$ was measured with a DOS-307 model conductance resistance meter (Shanghai REX Instruments, Shanghai, China). After $\mathrm{EL}_{1}$ measurements were completed, all samples were boiled for 10 min and $\mathrm{EL}_{2}$ measured after the tubes had cooled down to room temperature. Freeze damage for each leaf sample was calculated as relative conductivity $(\%)=\left(\mathrm{EL}_{1} / \mathrm{EL}_{2}\right) \times 100$. The $\mathrm{EL}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{EL}_{2}$ values were the means of the 5 samples.

## Low-temperature tolerance of stolons and rhizomes evaluated by survival rate

This test was conducted in September 2004 and repeated in January 2007 according to the procedure of Dunn (1999). Based on data from the testing of leaves for low-temperature tolerance, 15 representative accessions were selected to evaluate the low-temperature tolerance of stolons and rhizomes. Rhizomes and stolons with 3 nodes were used for these tests with 4 replications.

The rhizome and stolon samples were held in a refrigerator at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , then cooled in a programmable freezer, with temperature lowered at the rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. The rhizomes and stolons were exposed to 5 low temperature levels $(-2,-6,-10$, -14 and $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 1.5 h . After removal from the freezer, samples were thawed in a refrigerator at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Control samples were held at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the freezing test. The thawed rhizomes and stolons were planted in plastic pots (diameter 23 cm , height 28 cm ) filled with $90 \%$ sand and $10 \%$ compound fertiliser (15:15:15; $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{K}$ ) with 4 replications. The pots were placed in the field, irrigated as necessary and survival rate was observed after 6 weeks. The exposed temperature
resulting in $50 \%$ rhizome or stolon survival was regarded as the $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$.

## Data analysis

The data were analysed using the methods of Mo (1983) and Zhu and Zhu (1984). The relative conductivity was used to fit a Logistic curve, and $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ was calculated according to the Logistic formula. The SPSS 10.0 (Yu and He 2003) was used to predict $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ and analyse variance.

## Results

## Low-temperature tolerance of leaves

The $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values for different Zoysia spp. ranged from $-1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-10.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an average $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of $-6.4 \pm 1.77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2).

The rankings of low-temperature tolerance of different species were: $Z$. japonica $>Z$. sinica $>$ Z. matrella $>$ Z. tenuifolia $>$ Z. sinica var. longiflora $>$ Z. macrostachya, with the leaf $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of Z. japonica, Z. sinica and Z. matrella being significantly lower than that of Z. tenuifolia, Z. sinica var. longiflora and Z. macrostachya. Moreover, grasses selected from seashore regions, Z. macrostachya and Z. sinica var. longiflora, were less tolerant of low temperature than the other species tested.
Variation within Z . japonica. The $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of accessions of $Z$. japonica covered the full range for the genus (Table 2). Of the 66 accessions tested, 4 accessions [Z141, Z020, Z108 and Z136 (J-36)] had $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ lower than $-9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while more than half of the accessions had $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values between $-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of Z 125 (Palisades) and Z 104

Table 2. Leaf $\mathrm{LT}_{50}{ }^{1}$ of Zoysia spp.

| Species | Range $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Average $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Coefficient <br> of variation <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoysia spp. |  | 27.8 |  |
| Z. japonica | -1.9 to -10.4 | $-6.47 \pm 1.77$ | 25.3 |
| Z. sinica | -1.9 to -10.4 | $-6.9 \pm 1.69 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ | 28.9 |
| Z. matrella | -3 to -9.5 | $-5.9 \pm 1.70 \mathrm{~b}$ | 32.2 |
| Z. macrostachya | -2.8 to -6.8 | $-5.4 \pm 1.72 \mathrm{~b}$ | -2.7 a |
| Z. tenuifolia | -2.7 | -3.5 a | -3.1 a |
| Z. sinica var. longiflora | -3.5 |  |  |

[^2]was $-2.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, which was higher than that of the other accessions.
Variation within Z . sinica. The $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of accessions of $Z$. sinica varied from $-3.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-9.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (mean $5.9 \pm 1.70$; Table 2). Seventeen of the 22 accessions had $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ between $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2).
Variation within Z. matrella. The $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of Z. matrella varied from $-2.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (mean $5.4 \pm 1.72$; Table 2). Four accessions fell between $-5.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while Z123 and Z075 exhibited a poorer low-temperature tolerance at $-2.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-3.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.

## Low-temperature tolerance of rhizomes and stolons

Survival rate of representative accessions. Accessions differed significantly in their survival rates as measured by stolon and rhizome regrowth in 2004. Survival rate of stolons differed significantly from that of rhizomes of the same accession at the same low temperature exposure (Table 3).

The survival rates of stolons and rhizomes of representative groups of accessions following exposure to low temperatures tended to follow the same pattern as leaf tolerance of low temperatures. In general, accessions with low leaf toler-
ance had low stolon and rhizome survival, while accessions with good leaf tolerance had good stolon and rhizome survival (Table 4).

Table 3. Statistical analysis of the survival rate of stolons and rhizomes of zoysia grass representative accessions in 2004.

| Source | df | Mean Square | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| organ | 1 | $2129.773^{* *}$ | 22.163 |
| accession | 14 | $3246.995^{* *}$ | 33.789 |
| temperature | 5 | $207685.747^{* *}$ | 2161.205 |
| organ $\times$ accession | 14 | $1113.066^{* *}$ | 11.583 |
| organ $\times$ temperature | 5 | $530.105^{* *}$ | 5.516 |
| accession $\times$ temperature | 70 | $1484.928^{* *}$ | 15.452 |
| organ $\times$ accession $\times$ | 70 | $473.389^{* *}$ | 4.926 |
| $\quad$ temperature | 540 | 96.097 |  |
| Error |  |  |  |

Relative $L T_{50}$ of stolons and rhizomes in September 2004 and January 2007. $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons and rhizomes of all accessions declined from September 2004 to January 2007. For accessions with poor leaf low-temperature tolerance, $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons decreased from $\left(-5.7\right.$ to $\left.-7.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to $\left(-8.5\right.$ to $\left.-12.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and for rhizomes from ( -5.3 to $-7.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to $\left(-7.9\right.$ to $\left.-11.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; for accessions with intermediate leaf low-temperature tolerance, $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons decreased from ( -6.3 to $-10.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to ( -11.0 to $-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and for rhizomes from ( -7.3 to $-12.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to ( -11.2 to $-16.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); comparable values for accessions with good leaf low-

Table 4. The survival rate and $\mathrm{LT}_{50}{ }^{1}$ of stolons and rhizomes of zoysia grass representative accessions.

| $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of leaf |  | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stolon |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rhizome |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Accession | Control | -2 | -6 | -10 | -14 | -18 | $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ | Control | -2 | -6 | -10 | -14 | -18 | $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z125 } \\ & \text { (Palisades) } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 88.9 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5.7 | 100 | 79.0 | 63.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6.3 |
|  | Z123 | 100 | 88.9 | 44.4 | 38.5 | 0 | 0 | -7.1 | 100 | 100 | 78.6 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | -7.6 |
|  | (Diammond) Z114 | 100 | 100 | 45.5 | 0. | 0 | 0 | -6.5 | 100 | 100 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5.3 |
|  | Z160 | 100 | 77.8 | 66.7 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 | -7.0 | 100 | 92.9 | 61.5 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | -7.4 |
|  | Z075 | 100 | 80.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | -5.5 | 100 | 76.9 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0 | 0 | -6.4 |
|  | Z091 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 80.0 | 0 | 0 | -10.3 | 100 | 63.6 | 64.2 | 42.9 | 0 | 0 | $-7.3$ |
|  | Z137 (J-37) | 100 | 73.33 | 66.7 | 60.0 | 26.7 | 0 | -9.0 | 100 | 71.4 | 92.3 | 76.9 | 46.2 | 0 | -12.3 |
|  | Z132 | 100 | 62.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 0 | 0 | -6.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 58.3 | 0 | 0 | -9.6 |
|  | Z102 | 100 | 77.78 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 | -6.7 | 100 | 100 | 84.6 | 69.2 | 0 | 0 | -9.5 |
|  | Z045 | 100 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 | $-6.4$ | 100 | 66.7 | 100 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | -8.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Z145 (Meyer) | 100 | 100 | 76.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | -10.5 | 100 | 76.9 | 83.3 | 76.9 | 0 | 0 | $-10.6$ |
|  | Z136 (J-36) | 100 | 100 | 91.7 | 66.7 | 25.0 | 0 | -11.6 | 100 | 84.6 | 100 | 76.9 | 30.8 | 0 | -6.9 |
|  | Z021 | 100 | 85.6 | 53.8 | 23.1 | 7.7 | 0 | -8.3 | 100 | 88.2 | 64.7 | 41.2 | 17.7 | 0 | $-7.5$ |
|  | Z020 | 100 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 0 | -9.1 | 100 | 84.1 | 76.5 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 0 | $-7.5$ |
|  | Z141 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | -9.9 | 10 | 100 | 93.3 | 86.7 | 0 | 0 | $-10.3$ |

[^3]temperature tolerance were: stolons decreased from ( -8.3 to $-11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to ( -15.3 to $-16.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and rhizomes from ( -6.9 to $-10.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to ( -14.8 to $-17.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

The relationship between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons in September 2004 and $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons in January 2007 had an $R^{2}$ value of 0.373 ; the comparable value for rhizomes was $0.339(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$, Table 5).

Table 5. Relationship between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}{ }^{1}$ of stolons and rhizomes of zoysia grass in different seasons (January 2007 and September 2004).

| Regression equation ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value | $\mathrm{R}^{2}{ }_{0.05}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=-5.01616+1.05539 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $0.373^{*}$ | 0.332 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=-4.25898+1.10978 \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $0.339^{*}$ | 0.332 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{LT}_{50}=$ lethal temperature 50 , temperature at which $50 \%$ of stolons or rhizomes died.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}=\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons in Jan 2007; $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons in Sep 2004; $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of rhizomes in Jan 2007; $\mathrm{X}_{2}=\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of rhizomes in Sep 2004.

## Low-temperature tolerance in different vegetative organs

The average leaf $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of tested accessions was $-6.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was higher than that of stolons $\left(-7.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and rhizomes $\left(-8.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. In addition, the range of $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ for stolons and rhizomes was narrower than for leaf (Table 6).

The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value for the relationship between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons and leaves was 0.58 , between rhizomes and leaves was 0.67 and between rhizomes and stolons was 0.55 ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$, Table 7).

Table 7. Relationship between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}{ }^{1}$ of different vegetative organs of Zoysia spp.

| Regression equation | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value | $\mathrm{R}^{2}{ }_{0.05}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{1}{ }^{2}=-5.35556+0.360239 \mathrm{X}^{3}$ | $0.58^{* *}$ | 0.514 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{2}{ }_{2}=-5.354365+0.4500438 \mathrm{X}$ | $0.67^{* *}$ | 0.514 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=-3.855405+0.5852675 \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $0.55^{*}$ | 0.514 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{LT}_{50}=$ lethal temperature 50 , temperature at which $50 \%$ of stolons or rhizomes died.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of leaves.
${ }^{4} \mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of rhizomes.

## Discussion

The results of this study confirmed earlier findings that there was considerable variation among Zoysia spp. in terms of low-temperature tolerance. Li et al. (2003) reported that the $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of Zoysia spp. was ranked as follows: Z. japonica $\left(\mathrm{LT}_{50}=-7.92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<$ Z. sinica $\left(-6.75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $<$ Z. matrella $\left(-5.94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<$ Z. tenuifolia $\left(-4.94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $<$ Z. longiflora $\left(-3.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)<$ Z. macrostachya $\left(-2.72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. While our study showed the same ranking of species for freezing tolerance, the

Table 6. $\mathrm{LT}_{50}{ }^{1}$ of different vegetative organs.

| Low-temperature tolerance of leaf | Accessions | Leaf | Stolon | Rhizome |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |
| Poor |  |  | -5.7 | -6.3 |
|  | Z125 (Palisades) | -2.2 | -5.7 |  |
|  | Z123 (Diammond) | -2.8 | -7.1 | -7.6 |
|  | Z114 | -3.0 | -6.5 | -5.3 |
|  | Z160 | -3.5 | -7.0 | -7.4 |
|  | Z075 | -3.6 | -5.5 | -6.4 |
| Intermediate | Z091 (J-37) | -7.0 | -10.3 | -7.3 |
|  | Z137 | -8.2 | -9.0 | -12.3 |
|  | Z132 | -8.2 | -6.3 | -9.6 |
|  | Z102 | -8.3 | -6.7 | -9.5 |
| Good | Z045 | -8.6 | -6.4 | -8.0 |
|  | Z145 (Meyer) | -8.8 | -10.5 | -10.6 |
|  | Z136 (J-36) | -9.1 | -11.6 | -6.9 |
| Mean | Z021 | -9.5 | -8.3 | -7.5 |
| Range | Z020 | -10.0 | -9.1 | -7.5 |
|  | Z141 | -10.4 | -9.9 | -10.3 |

[^4]absolute $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ values for all species were generally higher than those reported by Li et al. (2003). This might be a function of testing in a different month and different year.

Freezing tolerance of Diammond, Palisades, J-36 and Meyer in the study of Patton and Reicher (2007) was $-8.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-11.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-10.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $11.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, compared with $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ for stolons of $-7.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-5.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for the same cultivars in our study. This suggests poorer freezing tolerance than that reported by Patton and Reicher (2007), especially for Diammond and Palisades. However, freezing tolerance does not always reflect absolute winter hardiness (Anderson et al. 2002), which can be affected by additional environmental factors in the field such as snow cover, soil moisture and temperature fluctuations. In addition, in some cultivars, environmental conditions in the field may induce a greater level of adaptation to cold than that induced in the growth chamber (Anderson et al. 2002).

It was significant that $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of all tested accessions declined from September 2004 to January 2007, i.e., plants became more tolerant of cold temperatures with time, a result similar to that reported for Meyer zoysia grass (Rogers et al. 1975) and salt grass (Shahba et al. 2003). Beard (1966) was the first to report that tolerance of freezing stress by turf grass fluctuated throughout the autumn and winter, and suggested that tolerance of freezing stress by turf grass developed and was lost gradually, with peak hardiness occurring in early winter. The positive linear correlation between $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of accessions in September 2004 and January 2007 indicated that the $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons and rhizomes soon after being introduced would be a satisfactory indicator of how plants would perform after growing in the area for some years.

Data on freezing tolerance of various organs were of interest, in that accessions with poor leaf low-temperature tolerance showed better tolerance of cold in stolons and rhizomes. By comparison, accessions with intermediate and good low-temperature tolerance in leaves showed similar cold tolerance in stolons and rhizomes. It was different from the report in Fylking Kentucky bluegrass (Gusta et al. 1980) and Bermuda grass (Dunn and Nelson 1974). Gusta et al. (1980) reported that leaves $\left(\mathrm{LT}_{50}=-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ had the greatest freezing stress tolerance during midwinter, followed by crowns $\left(\mathrm{LT}_{50}=-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and
roots and rhizomes $\left(\mathrm{LT}_{50}=-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in Fylking Kentucky, and Dunn and Nelson (1974) found Bermuda grass rhizomes to be less tolerant of freezing stress than stolons. In our study, $\mathrm{LT}_{50}$ of stolons of Meyer zoysia grass was $-10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and that of rhizomes was $-10.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a result similar to that reported by Rogers et al. (1975).

The low-temperature tolerance of species and accessions located in seashore regions was poorer than that of accessions from other regions. This is a reflection of natural selection processes. Since the annual variations in temperature and humidity in these regions are much smaller than from other regions, accessions which developed in these coastal areas did not need to develop characteristics which allowed them to survive very low temperatures.

Several of the commercial cultivars included in our study were less tolerant of low temperatures than some native accessions. In a different study, we found that some native accessions with good tolerance of low temperatures also had good turf quality, suggesting that these native accessions represent an excellent source of breeding material for developing new cultivars.
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[^0]:    Correspondence: Jianxiu Liu, Institute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China, 210014. E-mail: turfunit @yahoo.com.cn

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Refers to the collection site of the native accessions.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{LT}_{50}=$ lethal temperature 50 , the temperature at which $50 \%$ of leaves died.
    ${ }^{2}$ Within columns' values followed by the same letter do not differ significantly ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{LT}_{50}=$ lethal temperature 50 , the temperature at which $50 \%$ of stolons or rhizomes died.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{LT}_{50}=$ lethal temperature 50 , temperature at which $50 \%$ of stolons or rhizomes died.

